

Mycoflora in the intestine of *Eisenia andrei* (Oligochaeta, Lumbricidae) and in vermiculture substrates

ALENA NOVÁKOVÁ and VÁCLAV PIŽL

Institute of Soil Biology, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic,
Na Sádkách 7, CZ-370 05 České Budějovice, Czech Republic

Nováková A. and Pižl V. (2003): Mycoflora in the intestine of *Eisenia andrei* (Oligochaeta, Lumbricidae) and in vermiculture substrates – Czech Mycol. 55: 83–102

Mycoflora of three commercial vermiculture systems based on cattle manure derived substrates and *Eisenia andrei* earthworms was studied using several isolation methods. A total of 172 taxa of saprotrophic micromycetes were isolated (19 taxa of Zygomycetes, 9 taxa of Ascomycetes and 144 taxa of mitosporic fungi). *Aspergillus fumigatus* was the most frequent microfungus species in the intestine of *Eisenia andrei*. In vermiculture substrates, *Aspergillus flavus* and *Aspergillus fumigatus* were among species isolated very frequently by the soil dilution method, while *Rhizopus stolonifer* was estimated as frequent species using the soil washing isolation technique.

Key words: cattle manure, saprotrophic and cellulolytic microfungi, earthworms

Nováková A. a Pižl V. (2003): Mykoflóra střevního traktu *Eisenia andrei* (Oligochaeta, Lumbricidae) a substrátu vermikultur – Czech Mycol. 55: 83–102

Mykoflóra tří komerčních vermikultur založených na stájovém hnoji a žížalách *Eisenia andrei* byla studována pomocí několika izolačních metod. Celkem bylo izolováno 172 taxonů saprotrofních mikromycetů (Zygomycetes 19, Ascomycetes 9 a mitosporní houby 144 taxonů). Druhem nejčastěji izolovaným ze střevního traktu žížal byl *Aspergillus fumigatus*, ze substrátů vermikultur byly nejčastěji izolovány *Aspergillus fumigatus*, *Aspergillus flavus* (zředovací metoda) a *Rhizopus stolonifer* (promývací metoda).

INTRODUCTION

Thermophilic composting is used traditionally for organic waste decomposition or a production of natural fertilisers and plant growth substrates. Vermicomposting represents a related technique, in which earthworms are additionally introduced into composted material. Various earthworm species, most often *Eisenia fetida*, *Eisenia andrei* and *Eudrilus eugeniae* (Frederickson et al. 1997, Edwards 1998, Ndegwa and Thompson 2001, Hirashi 2002), as well as various substrates – e.g. pig, cattle, horse or rabbit manures, crop residues, lignocellulose and household wastes, and sewage sludge (Allievi et al. 1986, Elvira et al. 1998, Kale 1998, Singh and Sharma 2002), are currently used in large scale systems producing vermicomposts and/or vermiproteins. The differences between traditional composting processes and vermicomposting are described in several studies, e.g. Vincelas-Akpa and Loquet (1997) and Ndegwa and Thompson (2001).

The vermicomposting process is a result of the combined action of earthworms and microorganisms living in both earthworm intestines and vermiculture substrate. However, there is little information on the diversity of microorganisms in earthworm intestines and vermiculture substrates or on earthworm effects on the diversity of microfungal communities. Aira et al. (2002) studied the influence of *Eisenia fetida* on some characteristics of microbial populations (microbial biomass N, microbial respiration, substrate dehydrogenase activity) during the vermicomposting of pig manure. Byzov et al. (1993) examined yeasts associated with soil invertebrates including *E. fetida* using dilution plate method for their isolation. Flack and Hartenstein (1984) measured growth parameters of *E. fetida* fed on substrates with and without various species of microorganisms. More records are available about the effects of earthworms on quantitative parameters of microbial communities and about the feeding of earthworms on microbes. Changes in density of microorganisms during the transit through the earthworm intestine were investigated by Křišťůfek et al. (1992), while Trigo and Lavelle (1993) measured changes in respiration rate. Food preferences of earthworm belonging to different ecological groups of microfungi were studied by Bonkowski et al. (2000), and Marfenina and Ishchenko (1997) examined earthworm preference for soil microscopic fungi. Several authors reported records about the occurrence of fungal particles in earthworm guts or about the effect of the passage through the gut on the viability of spores (Shonholzer et al. 1999, Pedersen and Hendriksen 1993, Judas 1992). Microfungal species associated with the gut content and casts of tropical earthworm *Drawida assamensis* were reported by Tiwari et al. (1990).

Since 2000, the research has been carried out in the Institute of Soil Biology AS CR to obtain a better understanding of the interactions between earthworms and microflora in vermicultures. Preliminary results of this research were presented by Nováková and Pižl (2002a,b). Quantitative data obtained are to be published by Pižl and Nováková (in press). In our study, species composition of fungal communities associated with the intestine of *Eisenia andrei* is described and compared with those of fresh and processed vermiculture substrates.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Three commercial vermiculture systems based on cattle manure and *Eisenia andrei* earthworms located in Mikulčice (Plant 1), Sokolnice (Plant 2) and Frýdek-Místek (Plant 3), Czech Republic, were examined. They differed in both nutrient and heavy metal contents in substrates (Table 1), as well as in their productivity (Pecl, pers. comm.), Plant 2 having been the least and Plant 3 the most efficient ones (as concerns the production of earthworm biomass) at the start of the study. However, the last plant declined strongly in its productivity due to

Table 1. pH, C_{ox} , N_{total} and contents of extractable nutrients and heavy metals in fresh (A) and processed (B) vermiculture substrates on individual localities

		pH	Extractable nutrients ($g \cdot kg^{-1}$)				C_{ox}	N_{total}	Heavy metals ($mg \cdot kg^{-1}$)			
		(CaCl)	Ca	P	K	Mg	%	%	Cd	Cu	Pb	Zn
Plant 1 (Mikulčice)	A	7.39	7.32	3.25	9.12	3.06	27.3	3.21	0.46	16.9	2.61	113
	B	7.51	8.76	3.35	7.68	3.02	23.4	2.59	0.58	20.7	7.18	276
Plant 2 (Sokolnice)	A	8.53	10.82	4.50	47.52	5.40	22.8	2.89	0.39	9.7	8.40	134
	B	8.36	9.23	3.40	16.80	3.00	18.5	1.84	0.38	15.0	5.89	124
Plant 3 (Frýdek- Místek)	A	7.23	6.83	4.10	15.84	5.52	17.7	2.01	1.05	26.9	9.45	209
	B	7.20	6.15	5.23	23.04	4.05	19.5	2.05	0.97	23.1	11.10	172

the poor management (no input of fresh substrate) during the course of our study, having become almost abandoned in 2002.

Five subsamples (ca. 100 g FW) of fresh and earthworm-processed vermiculture substrates were randomly taken from Plants 1 and 2 in spring and autumn 2000 and 2001, and in spring 2002, and used for preparing a mixture samples. From Plant 3, the earthworm-processed substrates were sampled in the same way as from other plants, the samples of fresh substrate were however taken in 2000 (no fresh substrate was available later on). Additionally, batches of earthworms were collected close to the sampling points of substrates.

Soil dilution plate method (DPM, 10^{-4} dilution prepared from 1 g of mixture material, 1 ml of suspension was transferred to each Petri dish, three replicates) and soil washing technique (SWT, approximately 0,5 g of mixture material was washed by 500 ml of sterile distilled water, ten small particles were placed on the surface of each Petri dish, three replicates) (Garrett 1981, Kreisel and Schauer 1987) were used for the isolation of saprotrophic microfungi from vermiculture substrates. Soil extract agar, beer-wort agar and Sabouraud agar, all with rose Bengal, were the isolation media (Fassatiová 1979, Kreisel and Schauer 1987). Cellulolytic fungi from vermiculture substrates were isolated by the dilution method using filter paper on the surface of water agar (Kreisel and Schauer 1987). Samples of the gut contents of *E. andrei* were taken using a modified method described by Křišťůfek et al. (1993). Earthworms were washed in sterile tap water and immobilised by dipping into CO_2 saturated water 2 minutes. Subsequently,

earthworms were washed again in sterile tap water and massaged by sterile forceps to obtain fresh gut content material. Three earthworm individuals were used for collecting a mixture sample of gut content. It was weighed and diluted to 10^{-2} . A part of sample was additionally homogenised in an ultrasonic bath (50 kHz) for 4 min. and diluted to 10^{-4} . Three replicated mixture samples per vermiculture system and sampling date were prepared. The fungi were isolated from one ml of 10^{-2} and 10^{-4} dilution, respectively, using three above mentioned media. Chloramphenicol (200 mg.l^{-1}) and streptomycin (100 mg.l^{-1}) were added to all isolation media for the suppression of bacterial growth. Fungi were cultivated for seven days at 25°C in the dark.

RESULTS

A total of 172 taxa of saprotrophic micromycetes were identified during the study (19 taxa of Zygomycetes, 9 taxa of Ascomycetes and 144 taxa of mitosporic fungi). Of those 129 taxa were isolated from the intestine of *Eisenia andrei* (Table 2: 60 taxa from the Plant 1, 76 from Plant 2 and 89 from Plant 3). 122, 72 and 65 taxa were respectively isolated from vermiculture substrates by the DPM, SWT and the isolation technique for cellulolytic microfungi (Tables 3, 4, 5). Using the DPM, higher numbers of microfungal taxa were obtained from processed than from fresh substrates of all vermiculture plants. Except for Plant 3, however, the numbers of microfungi taxa isolated by the SWT were higher in fresh than processed substrates.

Aspergillus fumigatus was most frequently isolated from the intestine of *Eisenia andrei* in all vermiculture plants studied (Table 2). Additionally, *Aspergillus flavus* and *Geotrichum candidum* were classified as very frequent species in earthworm intestines in the Plant 1. Frequent species of earthworm intestines were represented by *Penicillium expansum*, *Scopulariopsis brevicaulis* (in all plants), *Mucor dimorphosporus* f. *sphaerosporus*, *Aspergillus niger* (in Plant 1) and *Aspergillus versicolor* (in Plant 2).

Aspergillus fumigatus and *Aspergillus flavus* were very frequent species isolated by the DPM (Table 3) from fresh and processed vermiculture substrates, respectively. *Penicillium expansum* and *Geotrichum candidum* were frequent in both fresh and processed substrates, and *Emericella nidulans* (all plants), *A. parasiticus* (in Plants 1 and 3), *Mucor circinelloides* f. *circinelloides*, *Rhizopus stolonifer* (in Plant 1), *Absidia cylindrospora*, *Mucor hiemalis* f. *hiemalis*, *Chaetomium indicum* (in Plant 2), *Mucor dimorphosporus* f. *sphaerosporus*, *Doratomyces putredinis*, *A. ustus*, *A. sydowii*, *Scopulariopsis brevicaulis*, *Trichoderma atroviride*, *Trichurus spiralis* (in Plant 3) belonged to species frequently isolated from processed substrates.

Table 2. Presence (%) and total numbers of micromycete taxa isolated from the intestine of *Eisenia andrei* on individual localities (100 % = 5 samples; 1, 2, 3 – replicates)

Micromycete taxa	Mikulčice			Sokolnice			Frýdek-Místek		
	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
<i>Absidia coerulea</i> Bain.							20	20	20
<i>Absidia glauca</i> Hagem	20	20	20				20		
<i>Acremonium bactrocephalum</i> W. Gams					20				
<i>Acremonium berkeleyanum</i> (P. Karst.) W. Gams									20
<i>Acremonium charticola</i> (Lindau) W. Gams								20	
<i>Acremonium</i> cf. <i>kiliense</i> Grütz						20			
<i>Acremonium murorum</i> (Corda) W. Gams								20	
<i>Acremonium strictum</i> W. Gams						20	20	20	20
<i>Acremonium</i> sp.					20			20	
<i>Aspergillus asperescens</i> Stolk					20		20		
<i>Aspergillus caespitosus</i> Raper et Thom				20		40	20		40
<i>Aspergillus candidus</i> Link: Fr.				40	40	20		20	
<i>Aspergillus clavatus</i> Desm.	60	20	20				20	20	
<i>Aspergillus flavus</i> Link: Fr.	100	80	100	40		60	40	40	80
<i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i> Fresen.	80	80	80	80	80	80	100	100	80
<i>Aspergillus niger</i> Tiegh.	40	60	60		20	40			
<i>Aspergillus ochraceus</i> K. Wilh.	20								
<i>Aspergillus oryzae</i> (Ahlburg) Cohn	20								
<i>Aspergillus parasiticus</i> Speare	60	60	80	20	20		20	20	40
<i>Aspergillus puniceus</i> Kwon et Fennell									20
<i>Aspergillus sydowii</i> (Bain. et Sart.) Thom et Church	20						20		20
<i>Aspergillus terreus</i> Thom			20						20
<i>Aspergillus ustus</i> (Bain.) Thom et Church							40	60	60
<i>Aspergillus versicolor</i> (Vuill.) Tirab.		20	20	80	80	60	40	40	40
<i>Aspergillus wentii</i> Wehmer							20		20
<i>Aspergillus</i> sp.					20	20			
<i>Botrytis cinerea</i> Pers.: Fr.						20			
<i>Chaetomium indicum</i> Corda							20	20	
<i>Chrysosporium</i> sp.							20	20	
<i>Cladobotryum</i> sp.						20			
<i>Cladosporium cladosporioides</i> (Fresen.) de Vries									20
<i>Cladosporium sphaerospermum</i> Penz.					20	20			
<i>Clonostachys rosea</i> f. <i>catenulata</i> (Gilman et Abbott) Schroers		20							
<i>Clonostachys rosea</i> (Link: Fr.) Schroers, Samuels, Seifert et W. Gams f. <i>rosea</i>			20	20	20				20

Table 2. (continuation)

Micromycete taxa	Mikulčice			Sokolnice			Frýdek-Místek		
	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
<i>Coniothyrium fuckellii</i> Sacc.								20	
<i>Cylindrocarpon magnusianum</i> (Sacc.) Wollenw.			20						
<i>Cylindrocarpon</i> sp.					20				20
<i>Doratomyces microsporus</i> (Sacc.) F. J. Morton et G. Sm.	20			60	20	40	60	20	40
<i>Doratomyces purpureofuscus</i> (Fr.) F. J. Morton et G. Sm.				20		20		20	20
<i>Doratomyces putredinis</i> (Corda) F. J. Morton et G. Sm.				20	20	20	20	40	60
<i>Emericella nidulans</i> (Eidam) Vuill.	20	40	20	40			40	20	40
<i>Eupenicillium</i> sp.	20						20		
<i>Eurotium amstelodami</i> Mangin	20						20	20	20
<i>Fusarium culmorum</i> (W. G. Sm.) Sacc.							20		
<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> Schlecht.: Fr.	20	20							
<i>Fusarium solani</i> (Mart.) Appel et Wollenw.			40			20	40	40	60
<i>Fusarium ventricosum</i> Appel et Wollenw.						20	20		40
<i>Fusarium</i> sp.		20	20	20	20		20	20	20
<i>Geomyces pannorum</i> (Link) Sigler et J. W. Carmich.							20		20
<i>Geotrichum candidum</i> Link	60	100	100	40	40	40	40	60	20
<i>Graphium</i> sp.								20	
<i>Humicola fuscoatra</i> Traaen		20		20					
<i>Metarhizium anisopliae</i> (Metchn.) Sorok.									20
<i>Microascus desmosporus</i> (Lechmere) Curzi							40		
<i>Monodictys levis</i> (Wiltshire) S. Hughes				20		20			
<i>Mucor circinelloides</i> Tiegh. f. <i>circinelloides</i>	40	40	60	40		20	20	20	20
<i>Mucor circinelloides</i> Tiegh. f. <i>griseocyanus</i> (Hagem) Schipper	20								
<i>Mucor hiemalis</i> Wehmer f. <i>corticulus</i> (Hagem) Schipper	20	20		20					
<i>Mucor hiemalis</i> Wehmer f. <i>hiemalis</i>	20						20	60	40
<i>Mucor hiemalis</i> Wehmer f. <i>silvaticus</i> (Hagem) Schipper	20	20	20						
<i>Mucor dimorphosporus</i> Lendn.	40			20	20				
<i>Mucor dimorphosporus</i> Lendn. f. <i>sphaerosporus</i> (Hagem) Váňová	60	60	60	20	20		20	20	20
<i>Mucor mucedo</i> Fresen.	20	20	20	20				20	
<i>Mucor piriformis</i> A. Fischer								20	
<i>Mucor</i> sp.	20	20	20						20
<i>Mycocladus corymbifer</i> (Cohn in Licht.) Váňová	20		20	20	20	20	20	20	40
<i>Myrothecium roridum</i> Tode: Fr.									20
<i>Nodulisporium</i> sp.								20	
<i>Papulaspora</i> sp.	20	20							20

Table 2. (continuation)

Micromycete taxa	Mikulčice			Sokolnice			Frýdek-Místek		
	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
<i>Penicillium aurantiogriseum</i> Dierckx	40	20	40			20	20		20
<i>Penicillium brevicompactum</i> Dierckx			20				20		
<i>Penicillium canescens</i> Sopp						20			
<i>Penicillium chrysogenum</i> Thom					20	20		20	
<i>Penicillium citrinum</i> Thom	40	20	20		20		60	40	40
<i>Penicillium commune</i> Thom	20	20	20			20	40	20	20
<i>Penicillium decumbens</i> Thom				20			20		
<i>Penicillium expansum</i> Link: Fr.	60	60	80	20	20	20	80	80	80
<i>Penicillium fellutanum</i> Biourge							20		
<i>Penicillium glabrum</i> (Wehmer) Westling				20					
<i>Penicillium griseofulvum</i> Dierckx		20		20	20				
<i>Penicillium inflatum</i> Stolk et Malla						20			
<i>Penicillium</i> cf. <i>islandicum</i> Sopp	40	40	40		40	20		20	
<i>Penicillium janthinellum</i> Biourge	20					20			
<i>Penicillium</i> cf. <i>lividum</i> Westling				20					
<i>Penicillium pinophilum</i> Hedgc.	20								40
<i>Penicillium piceum</i> Raper et Fennell					40	20			
<i>Penicillium purpurescens</i> (Sopp) Biourge					20				
<i>Penicillium purpurogenum</i> Stoll			20						
<i>Penicillium roqueforti</i> Thom	20	20	40	20	20		20	20	20
<i>Penicillium rugulosum</i> Thom	20		20		20		40	20	20
<i>Penicillium solitum</i> Westling								20	
<i>Penicillium verrucosum</i> Dierckx					20				40
<i>Penicillium</i> sp.	20						40		
<i>Petriella setifera</i> (Schmidt) Curzi									20
<i>Pithoascus shumacheri</i> (Hansen) Arx								20	20
<i>Phoma eupyrena</i> Sacc.					20				
<i>Phoma leveillei</i> Boerema et Bollen				20					
<i>Phoma lingam</i> (Tode: Fr.) Desm.						20	20	20	
<i>Phoma pinodella</i> (L. K. Jones) Morgan-Jones et Burch				20	20	20			
<i>Phoma</i> sp.		20				20			
<i>Rhizopus arrhizus</i> Fischer			20	20					
<i>Rhizopus stolonifer</i> (Ehrenb.: Fr.) Vuill.		40	40			60	20	20	
<i>Scopulariopsis brevicaulis</i> (Sacc.) Bain.	20	40	80	60	60	60	60	80	60
<i>Scopulariopsis brumptii</i> Salv.-Duval				20				20	
<i>Scopulariopsis candida</i> (Guéguen) Vuill.							20		

Table 2. (continuation)

Micromycete taxa	Mikulčice			Sokolnice			Frýdek-Místek		
	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
<i>Scopulariopsis chartarum</i> (G. Sm.) F. J. Morton et G. Sm.				20	20	20	20	40	40
<i>Scopulariopsis</i> state of <i>Microascus</i>				20					
<i>Sepedonium niveum</i> Masee et Salmon					20				
<i>Sordaria humana</i> (Fuckel) Wint.				20					
<i>Stachybotrys chartarum</i> (Ehrenb.: Fr.) S. Hughes	20				20				
<i>Talaromyces wortmanii</i> (Klöcker) C. R. Benj.									20
<i>Thysanophora penicillioides</i> (Roum.) W. B. Kendr.					20				
<i>Trichoderma atroviride</i> P. Karst.	20	20	20	20	20	20	20		40
<i>Trichoderma hamatum</i> group		20						20	20
<i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> Rifai	40	60	60		20		20	60	80
<i>Trichoderma koningii</i> Oudem.				20	20		20	20	20
<i>Trichoderma pseudokoningii</i> Rifai							20		
<i>Trichoderma</i> sp. (pink)									20
<i>Trichoderma</i> spp.		20	20			20	40	20	
<i>Tritirachium roseum</i> Vincens							40		
<i>Verticillium lecanii</i> (Zimm.) Viégas				20					
<i>Verticillium luteoalbum</i> (Link: Fr.) Subram.		20				40	40	40	20
<i>Verticillium nigrescens</i> Pethybr.			40		20	40			
sterile dark mycelium		20		20		20	20	40	40
sterile mycelium	20			40	40	40	40	20	20
sterile yellow mycelium							20		
undetermined species of <i>Moniliales</i> 2									20
undetermined species of <i>Dematiaceae</i> 2									20
Number of isolated micromycete taxa	129			40	41	42	56	52	57
		60		76			89		

Table 3. Presence (%) and total numbers of micromycete taxa isolated by DPM from fresh (A) and processed (B) vermiculture substrates on individual localities (100 % = 5 samples, * samples collected only in 2000, 100 % = 2 samples)

Micromycete taxa	Mikulčice		Sokolnice		Frýdek-Místek	
	A	B	A	B	A*	B
<i>Absidia cylindrospora</i> Hagem				60		
<i>Absidia glauca</i> Hagem		20		20		
<i>Acremonium bactrocephalum</i> W. Gams	20		20			20
<i>Acremonium charticola</i> (Lindau) W. Gams						20
<i>Acremonium murorum</i> (Corda) W. Gams						20
<i>Acremonium strictum</i> W. Gams	20		40			40
<i>Acremonium</i> sp.						20
<i>Arthrinium arundinis</i> (Corda) Dyko et B. Sutton					50	
<i>Aspergillus asperescens</i> Stolk			20			
<i>Aspergillus caespitosus</i> Raper et Thom	20			20	50	20
<i>Aspergillus candidus</i> Link: Fr.			20			40
<i>Aspergillus clavatus</i> Desm.				20		20
<i>Aspergillus flavus</i> Link: Fr.	20	100	40	100	50	100
<i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i> Fresen.	100	40	100	80	100	80
<i>Aspergillus niger</i> Tiegh.	20	60	20	20	50	100
<i>Aspergillus niger</i> var. <i>phoenicis</i> (Corda) Al-Musalam	20	20				
<i>Aspergillus ochraceus</i> K. Wilh.	20			40	50	20
<i>Aspergillus parasiticus</i> Speare	40	80	40	20		60
<i>Aspergillus puniceus</i> Kwon et Fennell						20
<i>Aspergillus sydowii</i> (Bain. et Sart.) Thom et Church	20	20			50	60
<i>Aspergillus ustus</i> (Bain.) Thom et Church				20	50	60
<i>Aspergillus versicolor</i> (Vuill.) Tirab.		20	20	20	50	40
<i>Aspergillus</i> sp. (<i>A. versicolor</i> group)		20		20		20
<i>Aspergillus wentii</i> Wehmer					50	20
<i>Beauveria bassiana</i> (Bals.) Vuill.	20		20			
<i>Botryotrichum piluliferum</i> Sacc. et March.						20
<i>Chaetomium indicum</i> Corda	20		40	60		40
<i>Chaetomium spinosum</i> Chivers						20
<i>Cladosporium cladosporioides</i> (Fresen.) de Vries			20			40
<i>Cladosporium herbarum</i> (Pers.: Fr.) Link			40			
<i>Cladosporium sphaerospermum</i> Penz.	20			20		
<i>Clonostachys rosea</i> (Link: Fr.) Schroers, Samuels, Seifert et W. Gams f. <i>rosea</i>				20		
<i>Cylindrocarpon magnusianum</i> (Sacc.) Wollenw.	20	40	40	40		40
<i>Doratomyces microsporus</i> (Sacc.) F. J. Morton et G. Sm.			20	40		20

Table 3. (continuation)

Micromycete taxa	Mikulčice		Sokolnice		Frýdek-Místek	
	A	B	A	B	A*	B
<i>Doratomyces purpureofuscus</i> (Fr.) F. J. Morton et G. Sm.			40	20		20
<i>Doratomyces putredinis</i> (Corda) F. J. Morton et G. Sm.			20			60
<i>Emericella nidulans</i> (Eidam) Vuill.		60	20	60	50	80
<i>Eupenicillium</i> sp.						40
<i>Eurotium amstelodami</i> Mangin	20					
<i>Eurotium chevalieri</i> Mangin	20					20
<i>Fusarium avenaceum</i> (Fr.) Sacc.				20		
<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> Schlecht.: Fr.	40			40		
<i>Fusarium solani</i> (Mart.) Appel et Wollenw.				20	50	40
<i>Fusarium ventricosum</i> Appel et Wollenw.		20	40	40	50	
<i>Fusarium</i> sp.		40	20			20
<i>Geomyces pannorum</i> (Link) Siegler et J. W. Carmich.				20		
<i>Geotrichum candidum</i> Link	60	80	40	80	100	60
<i>Humicola fuscoatra</i> Traaen var. <i>fuscoatra</i>			20			
<i>Hypocrea</i> sp.				20		20
<i>Metarhizium anisopliae</i> (Metchn.) Sorok.			20			
<i>Mortierella</i> spp.	20		20			40
<i>Mucor circinelloides</i> Tiegh. f. <i>circinelloides</i>		60	40	40	50	20
<i>Mucor circinelloides</i> Tiegh. f. <i>griseocyanus</i> (Hagem) Schipper	20	20				
<i>Mucor dimorphosporus</i> Lendn.	40	20			50	
<i>Mucor dimorphosporus</i> Lendn. f. <i>sphaerosporus</i> (Hagem) Váňová		20	20			60
<i>Mucor hiemalis</i> Wehmer f. <i>corticola</i> (Hagem) Schipper		40			50	
<i>Mucor hiemalis</i> Wehmer f. <i>hiemalis</i>	20	20	20	60		
<i>Mucor globosus</i> A. Fisher					50	
<i>Mucor mucedo</i> Fresen.	20				50	
<i>Mucor</i> sp.		20				
<i>Mycocladius corymbifer</i> (Cohn in Licht.) Váňová		40		20	50	20
<i>Myrothecium roridum</i> Tode: Fr.					50	20
<i>Paecilomyces lilacinus</i> (Thom) Samson						20
<i>Paecilomyces variotii</i> Bain.		20				20
<i>Papulaspora</i> sp.		20				40
<i>Penicillium atramentosum</i> Thom			20	20		
<i>Penicillium aurantiogriseum</i> Dierckx	40	20	20	20	50	20
<i>Penicillium</i> cf. <i>aurantiogriseum</i> Dierckx		20				
<i>Penicillium chrysogenum</i> Thom		20	20	40	50	

Table 3. (continuation)

Micromycete taxa	Mikulčice		Sokolnice		Frýdek-Místek	
	A	B	A	B	A*	B
<i>Penicillium citrinum</i> Thom.	40	20	40	20		
<i>Penicillium commune</i> Thom.					50	20
<i>Penicillium corylophilum</i> Dierckx.					50	
<i>Penicillium decumbens</i> Thom.						20
<i>Penicillium digitatum</i> (Pers.: Fr.) Sacc.				20		
<i>Penicillium expansum</i> Link: Fr.	60	60	20	60	50	60
<i>Penicillium fellutanum</i> Biourge				20		
<i>Penicillium</i> cf. <i>funiculosum</i> Thom.						20
<i>Penicillium glandicola</i> (Oudem.) Seifert et Samson		20			50	
<i>Penicillium griseofulvum</i> Dierckx			40	20		
<i>Penicillium</i> cf. <i>islandicum</i> Sopp	20	40	20	40		20
<i>Penicillium</i> cf. <i>italicum</i> Wehmer					50	
<i>Penicillium janthinellum</i> Biourge					50	
<i>Penicillium lanosum</i> Westling			20			40
<i>Penicillium minioluteum</i> Dierckx					50	
<i>Penicillium olsonii</i> Bain. et Sartory	20					
<i>Penicillium piceum</i> Raper et Fennell	20			20		20
<i>Penicillium purpurogenum</i> Stoll						40
<i>Penicillium roquefortii</i> Thom.	40	40	20	40	50	20
<i>Penicillium rugulosum</i> Thom.		20			50	40
<i>Penicillium scabrosum</i> Frisvad, Samson et Stolk			20			
<i>Penicillium simplicissimum</i> (Oudem.) Thom.				20		20
<i>Penicillium solitum</i> Westling			20	40		20
<i>Penicillium</i> sp.			20			
<i>Phoma levellei</i> Boerema et Bollen			20	20		
<i>Rhizopus arrhizus</i> Fischer	20	20			100	
<i>Rhizopus stolonifer</i> (Ehrenb.: Fr.) Vuill.	20	60	20	40		20
<i>Scopulariopsis brevicaulis</i> (Sacc.) Bain.	20	40	100	20		60
<i>Scopulariopsis brumptii</i> Salv.-Duval			20	20		
<i>Scopulariopsis chartarum</i> (G. Sm.) F. J. Morton et G. Sm.			20	20		
<i>Scopulariopsis</i> state of <i>Microascus</i>	20			20		20
<i>Sepedonium niveum</i> Massee et Salmon	20			40	50	
<i>Stachybotrys chartarum</i> (Ehrenb.: Fr.) S. Hughes				40		
<i>Tolyposcladium cylindrosporum</i> W. Gams				20		
<i>Trichoderma atroviride</i> P. Karst.		40		60	50	60

Table 3. (continuation)

Micromycete taxa	Mikulčice		Sokolnice		Frýdek-Místek	
	A	B	A	B	A*	B
<i>Trichoderma hamatum</i> group			20	60		
<i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> Rifai		40	20	40	50	80
<i>Trichoderma koningii</i> Oudem.				20		
<i>Trichoderma virens</i> (Miller et al.) Arx						20
<i>Trichoderma viride</i> Pers.: Fr.		20		20		
<i>Trichoderma</i> spp.	40	40	40	40		60
<i>Trichophyton</i> sp.			20			
<i>Trichurus spiralis</i> Hasselbr.				20		60
<i>Tritirachium roseum</i> Vincens						20
<i>Verticillium lecanii</i> (Zimm.) Viégas						20
<i>Verticillium luteoalbum</i> (Link: Fr.) Subram.	20	20	60	40		60
<i>Verticillium nigrescens</i> Pethybr.				20		
<i>Verticillium psalliotae</i> Treschow	20					
sterile mycelium	20	20	40	20		40
sterile dark mycelium	20	20			50	20
undetermined species of <i>Moniliales</i> 1			20			
undetermined species of <i>Moniliales</i> 2	20					
undetermined species of <i>Dematiaceae</i> 1	20			20		20
Number of isolated micromycete taxa	122	43	51	62	37	69

Table 4. Presence (%) and numbers of micromycete taxa on individual localities isolated by SWT from fresh (A) and processed (B) vermiculture substrates (100 % = 5 samples, * 100 % = 2 samples)

Micromycete taxa	Mikulčice		Sokolnice		Frýdek-Místek	
	A	B	A	B	A*	B
<i>Absidia coerulea</i> Bain.			20			
<i>Absidia cylindrospora</i> Hagem	20		40	20		
<i>Absidia cylindrospora</i> var. <i>nigra</i> Hesselt. et J. J. Ellis			20			
<i>Absidia glauca</i> Hagem	20	20	60			
<i>Alternaria alternata</i> (Fr.: Fr.) Keissler			20			
<i>Aspergillus caespitosus</i> Raper et Thom	40					40
<i>Aspergillus clavatus</i> Desm.		20	20	20		
<i>Aspergillus flavus</i> Link. Fr.	40	40	60	40	100	60
<i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i> Fresen.	20		40			
<i>Aspergillus niger</i> Tiegh.	40					20
<i>Aspergillus parasiticus</i> Speare	40	20	40	20	50	20
<i>Aspergillus niger</i> var. <i>phoenicis</i> (Corda) Al-Musalam			20			
<i>Aspergillus ochraceus</i> K. Wilh.			20			
<i>Aspergillus ustus</i> (Bain.) Thom et Church	40		40	20		20
<i>Aspergillus versicolor</i> (Vuill.) Tirab.			20			
<i>Aspergillus wentii</i> Wehmer			20			
<i>Beauveria brongniartii</i> (Sacc.) Petch			20			
<i>Chaetomium indicum</i> Corda			20			
<i>Chaetomium spinosum</i> Chivers	20					
<i>Cladosporium cladosporioides</i> (Fresen.) de Vries			20			
<i>Cylindrocarpon magnusianum</i> (Sacc.) Wollenw.	20					
<i>Doratomyces putredinis</i> (Corda) F. J. Morton et G. Sm.	20					
<i>Emericella nidulans</i> (Eidam) Vuill.			40			
<i>Eurotium amstelodami</i> Mangin				20		
<i>Fusarium culmorum</i> (W. G. Sm.) Sacc.			40	60		
<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> Schlecht.: Fr.	40	20		20		
<i>Fusarium solani</i> (Mart.) Appel et Wollenw.	20		20			
<i>Fusarium ventricosum</i> Appel et Wollenw.			60	20		20
<i>Fusarium</i> sp.	20	20	40	20		20
<i>Geotrichum candidum</i> Link	60		60	40	50	20
<i>Gibberella fujikuroi</i> (Sawada) Wollenw.	20		40			
<i>Hormoconis resiniae</i> (Lindau) Arx et de Vries			20			
<i>Mucor circinelloides</i> Tiegh. f. <i>circinelloides</i>	40	20	60	40	50	20
<i>Mucor dimorphosporus</i> Lendn.	20		40	20		
<i>Mucor dimorphosporus</i> Lindn. f. <i>sphaerosporus</i> (Hagem) Váňová	40	20	60	40	50	80
<i>Mucor hiemalis</i> Wehmer		20	20		50	
<i>Mucor hiemalis</i> Wehmer f. <i>corticola</i> (Hagem) Schipper	40	20		20	50	20

Table 4. (continuation)

Micromycete taxa	Mikulčice		Sokolnice		Frýdek-Místek		
	A	B	A	B	A*	B	
<i>Mucor hiemalis</i> Wehmer f. <i>silvaticus</i> (Hagem) Schipper			20			20	
<i>Mucor mucedo</i> Fresen.		20		20	50	20	
<i>Mucor</i> sp.	20			40			
<i>Mycocladus corymbifer</i> (Cohn in Licht.) Váňová			20	20			
<i>Papulaspora</i> sp.	20	40		40			
<i>Paecilomyces farinosus</i> (Holm.: Fr.) A. H. S. Br. et G. Sm.			40				
<i>Penicillium aurantiogriseum</i> Dierckx	20		20	20			
<i>Penicillium canescens</i> Sopp	20						
<i>Penicillium chrysogenum</i> Thom			20	20			
<i>Penicillium citrinum</i> Thom			20				
<i>Penicillium commune</i> Thom	20					20	
<i>Penicillium corylophilum</i> Dierckx				20			
<i>Penicillium daleae</i> K. M. Zallesky			20				
<i>Penicillium expansum</i> Link: Fr.	60	20	40	40	50	20	
<i>Penicillium griseofulvum</i> Dierckx			40	20			
<i>Penicillium</i> cf. <i>islandicum</i> Sopp	20		20	20		20	
<i>Penicillium janthinellum</i> Biourge	40	40					
<i>Penicillium piceum</i> Raper et Fennell			20				
<i>Penicillium purpurogenum</i> Stoll	20		20	20			
<i>Penicillium roquefortii</i> Thom		20	40	20		20	
<i>Penicillium scabrosum</i> Frisvad, Samson et Stolk			20				
<i>Penicillium solitum</i> Westling	20		20				
<i>Penicillium</i> sp.	20	40					
<i>Rhizopus arrhizus</i> A. Fischer	20	20		20		20	
<i>Rhizopus stolonifer</i> (Ehrenb.: Fr.) Vuill.	100	100	60	80	100	80	
<i>Scopulariopsis brevicaulis</i> (Sacc.) Bain.	20		60	40			
<i>Stachybotrys chartarum</i> (G. Sm.) F. J. Morton et G. Sm.	20						
<i>Trichoderma atroviride</i> P. Karst.	40	40	60	60	50	40	
<i>Trichoderma hamatum</i> group		40			50	20	
<i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> Rifai	20	60	40		50	60	
<i>Trichoderma koningii</i> Oudem.	40		20				
<i>Trichoderma virens</i> (Miller et al.) Arx		20		40		20	
<i>Trichoderma</i> spp.	20	40	40	60		40	
<i>Trichurus spiralis</i> Hasselbr.	20						
undetermined species of <i>Moniliales</i> 1			20				
Number of isolated micromycete taxa	72	46	23	51	33	13	24

Table 5. Presence (%) and numbers of micromycete taxa isolated using the DPM on filter paper from fresh (A) and processed (B) vermiculture substrates on individual localities (100 % = 5 samples, * 100 % = 2 samples)

Micromycete taxa	Mikulčice		Sokolnice		Frýdek-Místek	
	A	B	A	B	A*	B
<i>Absidia glauca</i> Hagem				20		
<i>Acremonium bactrocephalum</i> W. Gams			20			
<i>Acremonium murorum</i> (Corda) W. Gams						20
<i>Acremonium polychromum</i> (J. F. H. Beyma) W. Gams						20
<i>Acremonium strictum</i> W. Gams			20			
<i>Aspergillus ochraceus</i> K. Wilh.						20
<i>Aspergillus caespitosus</i> Raper et Thom		20				
<i>Aspergillus clavatus</i> Desm.		20				
<i>Aspergillus flavus</i> Link: Fr.	20	60				
<i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i> Fresen.	40	40	20	40		40
<i>Aspergillus niger</i> Tiegh.		20				
<i>Aspergillus sydowii</i> (Bain. et Sart.) Thom et Church						40
<i>Aspergillus ustus</i> (Bain.) Thom et Church					50	40
<i>Aspergillus versicolor</i> (Vuill.) Tirab.		40			50	20
<i>Aspergillus wentii</i> Wehmer					50	20
<i>Chaetomium indicum</i> Corda				40		
<i>Chaetomium spinosum</i> Chivers						20
<i>Cladosporium cladosporioides</i> (Fresen.) de Vries			20			
<i>Cladosporium sphaerospermum</i> Penz.	20				50	
<i>Clonostachys rosea</i> (Link: Fr.) Schroers, Samuels, Seifert et W. Gams f. <i>rosea</i>	20					20
<i>Doratomyces microsporus</i> (Sacc.) F. J. Morton et G. Sm.				20	50	60
<i>Doratomyces purpureofuscus</i> (Fr.) F. J. Morton et G. Sm.			20			20
<i>Doratomyces putredinis</i> (Corda) F. J. Morton et G. Sm.			20			40
<i>Emericella nidulans</i> (Eidam) Vuill.		40			50	40
<i>Fusarium culmorum</i> (W. G. Sm.) Sacc.						20
<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> Schlecht.: Fr.	40	20				
<i>Fusarium solani</i> (Mart.) Appel et Wollenw.	20	40		20	50	40
<i>Fusarium ventricosum</i> Appel et Wollenw.	20	40		40	50	40
<i>Fusarium</i> sp.		20				
<i>Geotrichum candidum</i> Link	20	20	20			
<i>Graphium</i> sp.						40
<i>Monilia</i> sp.			20			
<i>Mortierella</i> spp.	20		20	20		20

Table 5. (continuation)

Micromycete taxa	Mikulčice		Sokolnice		Frýdek-Místek	
	A	B	A	B	A*	B
<i>Mucor circinelloides</i> Tiegh. f. <i>circinelloides</i>	20	20				
<i>Mucor dimorphosporus</i> Lendn.		20		20		
<i>Mucor dimorphosporus</i> Lendn. f. <i>sphaerosporus</i> (Hagem) Váňová	40	20		20		
<i>Myrothecium roridum</i> Tode: Fr.					50	
<i>Nodulisporium</i> sp.						20
<i>Didiodendron maius</i> G. L. Barron			20			
<i>Papulaspora</i> sp.		20				
<i>Penicillium aurantiogriseum</i> Dierckx		40			50	20
<i>Penicillium expansum</i> Link: Fr.	20	20	20			60
<i>Penicillium glabrum</i> (Wehmer) Westling	20		20			20
<i>Penicillium griseofulvum</i> Dierckx				20	50	
<i>Penicillium cf. islandicum</i> Sopp	60	20	20			20
<i>Penicillium janczewskii</i> K. M. Zalesky			20			
<i>Penicillium pinophilum</i> Hedgc.						20
<i>Penicillium roquefortii</i> Thom		20				
<i>Penicillium variabile</i> Sopp		20				20
<i>Penicillium</i> sp.			20			
<i>Phoma exigua</i> Desm.	20					
<i>Phoma lingam</i> (Tode: Fr.) Desm.				20		
<i>Phoma</i> sp.			20	20		20
<i>Scopulariopsis brevicaulis</i> (Sacc.) Bain.			20	20		20
<i>Scopulariopsis brumptii</i> Salv.-Duval					50	
<i>Stachybotrys chartarum</i> (G. Sm.) F. J. Morton et G. Sm.		20		20		
sterile mycelium		20	20			
<i>Trichurus spiralis</i> Hasselbr.		20				60
<i>Verticillium albo-atrum</i> Reinke et Berthold	20					
<i>Verticillium luteoalbum</i> (Link: Fr.) Subramanian		20	40	40		20
<i>Verticillium nigrescens</i> Pethybr.			20			
<i>Trichoderma atroviride</i> P. Karst.				20	50	
<i>Trichoderma hamatum</i> group			20	20		
<i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> Rifai		40				20
<i>Trichoderma</i> spp.	20	60	20	60		40
Number of isolated micromycete taxa	65	17	22	18	13	32

Among fungi isolated by the SWT (Table 4), *Rhizopus stolonifer* was the most frequent species in substrates of all plants under study. *Absidia glauca*, *Fusarium ventricosum*, *Mucor circinelloides* f. *circinelloides*, *Mucor dimorphosporus* f. *sphaerosporus*, *Scopulariopsis brevicaulis* (in Plant 2), *Geotrichum candidum* (in Plants 1 and 2) were frequent in fresh substrates, while *Trichoderma harzianum* was frequent in processed substrates of Plants 1 and 3. *Trichoderma atroviride* was frequently isolated from both substrates of the Plant 2.

No generally frequent species was classified among fungi isolated using the technique for cellulolytic fungi (Table 5). Nevertheless, *A. flavus*, and *Doratomyces microsporus*, *Penicillium expansum* and *Trichurus spiralis* were respectively frequent in processed substrates of Plant 1 and Plant 3.

DISCUSSION

Dung and/or manure are very complex substrates and in the case of herbivores they consist of comminuted residual vegetable matter, while both cellulose and lignin are often major components and determine the kind of mycoflora that develops on such substrate (Subramanian 1983).

All microfungal taxa isolated in this study are representatives of saprotrophic fungi according Garret's classification (Garrett 1981). They belong to primary or secondary sugar fungi and to cellulolytic fungi, the majority of them being commonly isolated from soils and/or variety of plant substrates. A number of isolated microfungi are classified as fungi utilising hemicelluloses and cellulose (c.g. *Trichurus spiralis*, *Myrothecium roridum* and representatives of the genera *Doratomyces*, *Phoma* and *Chaetomium*) or sugars (mainly Zygomycetes) from various sources. One species only, *Sordaria humana*, may be classified as common coprophilous species (Domsch et al. 1980). According to Tubaki's classification of coprophilous fungi (Subramanian 1983), however, all isolated fungi may be considered facultative coprophilous occurring both in dung and other substrates. Nevertheless, Subramanian (1983) reported that many hyphomycetes were recorded invariably and primarily on dung and excreta of animals but rarely on other substrates. In our study, that group of fungi was represented by species from the genera *Graphium*, *Myrothecium*, *Papulaspora*, *Scopulariopsis*, *Trichoderma*, *Trichurus*, and *Tritirachium*, which were isolated from both fresh and processed vermiculture substrates. Two microfungi, *A. fumigatus* and *Scopulariopsis brevicaulis*, are considered human-pathogenic and many other species of the genus *Aspergillus* are potential mycotoxin producers (Domsch et al. 1980).

In terms of fungal ecology (Cooke and Rayner 1984, Dix and Webster 1985), species isolated from vermicultures could be classified either as S-selected fungi, e.g. species of the genera *Mucor*, *Trichoderma*, *Fusarium*, *Gliocladium* and *Penicillium*, or as R-selected fungi characterised by a rapid mycelial growth and an

ability to colonise fastly the new resources, e.g. many representatives of *Mucorales*, including those of the genera *Mucor* and *Rhizopus*.

Variations in species occurrence obtained by different isolation methods resulted from their different ability to grow from spores or fragments of active mycelium, as well as from a selection based on different nutrient spectra. Using the SWT (isolation from mycelium), a wide spectrum of saprotrophic fungi was isolated from fresh vermiculture substrate (Table 4), which did not correspond with the statement by Cooke and Rayner (1984) that fungi are present mainly as inactive spores in dung. Interestingly, *A. flavus* and *A. parasiticus* were frequently isolated using both the DPM and SWT. It seems that they occur in mycelial form in vermiculture substrates and together with *R. stolonifer* may play an important role in their decomposition.

In comparison with the results of other studies (Tiwari et al. 1990, Křišťáfek et al. 1992), high numbers of microfungi were isolated from earthworm gut. Nevertheless, majority of them are probably inactive, as only fungi from spores can be isolated by the DPM (Zak and Rabatin 1997). The highest numbers of microfungal species were found in the earthworm intestines and vermiculture substrates of the Plant 3 which was classified as the best prospering one at the start, but strongly declined in its productivity during the course of our study. Presumably, the changes in vermiculture management (see Material and Methods) were responsible.

Using the DPM, *Aspergillus fumigatus* and *A. flavus* were the most frequently isolated species. *A. fumigatus* is a thermotolerant fungus with world-wide distribution, listed regularly from soils but never as a dominant species. It was previously recorded from various types of composts, composted municipal wastes and from dung of cattle and horses (Domsch et al. 1980). Pugh and Boddy (1988) classified it as characteristic for the self-heating phase of composts. In vermicultures however the temperature is steadily low, and the high frequency of *A. fumigatus* corresponds with the records of Domsch et al. (1980) that this species is able to grow well also at temperature about 20 °C or lower. Similarly to our results, Striganova et al. (1988) recognised *A. fumigatus* as obligate inhabitant of the intestines of soil earthworms. According to Domsch et al. (1980) *A. flavus* is also distributed world-wide, however, it prefers mainly tropical and subtropical regions. This species was also previously recorded in earthworm cultures and casts. Using the SWT, *Rhizopus stolonifer* was frequently isolated from vermiculture substrates of Plants 1 and 2. This species is one of the commonest members of the *Mucorales* and has a world-wide distribution. It was frequently isolated from soils (predominately slightly alkaline ones), but its typical microhabitats include litter, garden compost and composted municipal waste (Domsch et al. 1980). *A. niger* was frequently isolated from intestines of earthworms in Plant 1 and from substrates of all vermiculture plants, and its frequency was higher

in processed then in fresh substrates (Plants 1 and 3, DPM). On the contrary, Marfenina and Ishchenko (1997) reported that *A. niger* is not attractive, but rather repellent and toxic for *Eisenia fetida* earthworms.

Correspondingly to Toyota and Kimura (2000), several microfungal species were isolated from earthworm intestines and fresh substrate, but not from processed substrate. The probable explanation is that viability of spores of those fungi changed during their passage through the earthworm gut rather than they were completely digested by worms.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by the Grant Agency of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Project no. A6066001/00 and by the Research Plan of the Institute of Soil Biology AS CR (Z6 066 911).

REFERENCES

- AIRA M., MONROY F., DOMINGUEZ J. and MATO S. (2002): How earthworm density affects microbial biomass and activity in pig manure. – *Eur. J. Soil Biol.* 38: 7–10.
- ALLIEVI L., CITTERIO B. and FERRARI A. (1986): Vermicomposting of rabbit manure: modifications of microflora. – In: de Bertoldi M., Ferranti M. P., L'Hermite P. and Zucconi F. (eds.), *Compost: Production, Quality and Use*, p. 115–126, London and New York.
- BONKOWSKI M., GRIFFITHS B. S. and RITZ K. (2000): Food preferences of earthworms for soil fungi. – *Pedobiologia* 44: 666–676.
- BYZOV B. A., THANH V. N. and BABJEVA I. P. (1993): Yeasts associated with soil invertebrates. – *Biol. Fertil. Soils* 16: 183–187.
- COOKE R. C. and RAYNER A. D. M. (1984): *Ecology of saprotrophic fungi*. – 421 p. London and New York.
- DIX N. J. and WEBSTER J. (1985): *Fungal Ecology*. – 549 p. London etc.
- DOMSCH K. H., GAMS W. and ANDERSON T.-H. (1980): *Compendium of soil fungi*. Vol. 1. – 859 p., New York etc.
- EDWARDS C. A. (1998): The use of earthworms in the breakdown and management of organic wastes. – In: Edwards C. A. (ed.), *Earthworm Ecology*, p. 327–354, Boca Raton etc.
- ELVIRA C., SAMPEDRO L., BENITEZ E. and NOGALES R. (1998): Vermicomposting of sludges from paper mill and dairy industries with *Eisenia andrei*: A pilot-scale study. – *Bioresour. Technol.* 63: 205–211.
- FASSATIOVÁ O. (1979): Moulds and filamentous fungi in technical microbiology. – 211 p. Praha. (in Czech)
- FLACK F. M. and HARTENSTEIN R. (1984): Growth of earthworm *Eisenia foetida* on microorganisms and cellulose. – *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 16: 491–495.
- FREDERICKSON J., BUTT K. R., MORRIS R. M. and DANIEL C. (1997): Combining vermiculture with traditional green waste composting systems. – *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 29: 725–730.
- GARRETT S. D. (1981): *Soil fungi and soil fertility*. – 150 p. Oxford.
- JUDAS M. (1992): Gut content analysis of earthworms (Lumbricidae) in a beechwood. – *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 24: 1413–1417.
- KALE R. D. (1998): Earthworms: nature's gift for utilization of organic wastes. – In: Edwards C. A. (ed.), *Earthworm Ecology*, p. 355–376, Boca Raton etc.

- KREISEL H. and SCHAUER F. (1987): Methoden des mykologischen Laboratoriums. – 181 p. Jena.
- KRIŠTŮFEK V., PIŽL V. and RAVASZ K. (1993): Actinomycete communities in earthworms guts and surrounding soil. – *Pedobiologia* 37: 379–384.
- KRIŠTŮFEK V., RAVASZ K. and PIŽL V. (1992): Changes in densities of bacteria and microfungi during gut transit in *Lumbricus rubellus* and *Aporrectodea caliginosa* (Oligochaeta, Lumbricidae). – *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 24: 1499–1500.
- MARFENINA O. E. and ISHCHENKO I. A. (1997): Earthworms preference for soil microscopic fungi. – *Izv. Akad. Nauk. Biol.* 14: 504–506.
- NDEGWA P. M. and THOMPSON S. A. (2001): Integrating composting and vermicomposting in the treatment and bioconversion of biosolids. – *Bioresour. Technol.* 76: 107–112.
- NOVÁKOVÁ A. and PIŽL V. (2002a): Mycoflora of vermicultures and intestines *Eisenia andrei*. – In: Life in soil. 3rd international conference, Bratislava, 29. 1. 2002 [proceedings on CD-ROM]. Czechoslovak Society for Microbiology, Institute of Landscape Ecology SAS, Bratislava. (in Czech)
- NOVÁKOVÁ A. and PIŽL V. (2002b): Mycotic flora in vermicultures and intestines of *Eisenia andrei*. – In: Tajovský K., Balík, V. and Pižl V. (eds.), Studies on Soil Fauna in Central Europe, p. 133–136, ISB AS CR, České Budějovice.
- PEDERSEN J. C. and HENDRIKSEN N. B. (1993): Effect of passage through the intestinal tract of detritivore earthworms (*Lumbricus* spp.) on the number of selected gram-negative and total bacteria. – *Biol. Fertil. Soils* 16: 227–232.
- PIŽL V. and NOVÁKOVÁ A. (2003): Earthworms-microfungi interactions in vermicultures. – *Pedobiologia* (in press)
- PUGH G. J. F. and BODDY L. (1988): A view of disturbance and life strategies in fungi. – *P. Roy. Soc. Edinb.* B 94: 3–11.
- SCHONHOLZER F., HAHN D. and ZEYER J. (1999): Origins and fate of fungi and bacteria in the gut of *Lumbricus terrestris* L. studied by image analysis. – *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 28: 235–248.
- SINGH A. and SHARMA S. (2002): Composting of a crop residue through treatment with microorganisms and subsequent vermicomposting. – *Biosour. Technol.* 85: 107–111.
- STRIGANOVA B. R., MARFENINA O. E. and PONOMARENKO V. A. (1988): Some aspects of earthworms effects on soil fungi. – *Izv. Akad. Nauk. Biol.* 5: 715–720. (in Russian)
- SUBRAMANIAN C. V. (1983): Hyphomycetes. Taxonomy and Biology. – 502 p. London etc.
- TIWARI S. C., TIWARI B. K. and MISHRA R. R. (1990): Microfungal species associated with the gut content and casts of *Drawida assamensis* Gates. – *P. Indian AS-Plant Sc.* 100: 379–382.
- TOYOTA K. and KIMURA M. (2000): Microbial community indigenous to the earthworm *Eisenia foetida*. – *Biol. Fertil. Soils* 31: 187–190.
- TRIGO D. and LAVELLE P. (1993): Changes in respiration rate and some physicochemical properties of soil during gut transit through *Allolobophora molleri* (Lumbricidae, Oligochaeta). – *Biol. Fertil. Soils* 15: 185–188.
- VINCESLAS-AKPA M. and LOQUET M. (1997): Organic matter transformations in lignocellulose waste products composted or vermicomposted (*Eisenia fetida andrei*): Chemical analysis and C-13 CP/MAS NMR. – *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 29: 751–758.
- ZAK J. C. and RABATIN S. C. (1997): Organization and description of fungal communities. – In: Wicklow D. T. and Söderström B. E. (eds.), *The Mycota. IV. Environmental and microbial relationships*, p. 33–46, Berlin etc.